(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	States	Year 2000-2001	
		Recommended	Released
4.	Bihar	10,874.96	0.00
5.	Chhattisgarh	4,200.00	2,100.00
6.	Goa	185.45	92.72
7.	Gujarat	6,960.87	0.00
8.	Haryana	2,941.75	1,470.88
9.	Himachal Pradesh	1,313.38	656.68
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	1,488.14	744.06
11.	Jharkhand	4,825.85	0.00
12.	Karnataka	7,882.35	3,941.18
13.	Kerala	6,592.58	3,296.28
14.	Madhya Pradesh	10,109.39	5,054.70
15.	Maharashtra	13,134.58	6,567.28
16.	Manipur	375.43	187.72
17.	Meghalaya	512.16	256.08
18.	Mizoram	157.11	78.56
19.	Nagaland	257.33	128.66
20.	Onssa	6,911.76	3,455.88
21.	Punjab	3,092.71	0.00
22.	Rajasthan	9,818.96	4,909.48
23.	Sikkim	105.85	52.92
24.	Tamil Nadu	9,322.36	4,661.18
25.	Tripura	569.19	284.60
26.	Uttar Pradesh	23,3432.67	11,671.34
27.	Uttaranchal	3,040.00	1,520.00
28.	West Bengal	11,554.59	5,777.30
	TOTAL:	1,60,000.00	57185.92

Black topping of road in Jagatsinghpur District

3595. SHRI S. RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the work relating to the conversion of the road connecting Ibrishingh and Panchapalli in Jagatsinghpur District in Orissa to black topped one with the World Bank assistance has since been taken up;

(b) if so, by when it is likely to be completed; and

(c) if not, by when the work is likely to be taken up?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. Government of Orissa have reported that for the purpose of' conversion of the road connecting Ibrishingh and Panchapalli in Jagatsinghpur District in Orissa with the assistance of the World Bank, tenders were invited and that owing to improper competition, they are sending a proposal for 'rebid' to the World Bank.

Projects sanctioned by CAPART

3596. SHRI CO. POULOSE: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the projects sanctioned by the Council for Advancement of People's Action and Rural Technology (CAPART) in the States during each of the last three years, State-wise;

(b) whether reports of irregularities by the agencies have been received by Government; and

(c) if so, the details of action taken by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SUBHASH MAHARIA): (a) The state-wise details of projects sanctioned by CAPART during each of the last three years *i.e.* 1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2000-01 are given in the enclosed Statement. (See below)

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Complaints of irregularities in respect of 41 organisations provided funds during the said period have come to notice of CAPART. Out of these 41 VOs, 39 VOs have been placed under Further Assistance Stopped Category and two VOs are in doubtful category. Further appropriate action is being taken against these organisations.